UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,192	12/20/2001	Gyanesh P. Khare		9714
	7590 01/18/2007 HITCHCOCK, FISH & DO	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2443			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
Bartlesville, OK 74005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,192	KHARE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Wayne Langel	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
• •	VIC CET TO EVEIDE AMONTH	VC) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 I	November 2006.		
	is action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-31 and 34-55</u> is/			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,32 and 33</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		,	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/s	a) <sub>r</sub> (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 00 0.0.0. g 110(e	1)-(d) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received.		
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer		tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	red in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/027,192

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Khare et al '117, for the reasons given in the last Office Action. Applicants' argument, that reducing a composition with a suitable reducing agent under suitable conditions that takes place after the composition has been calcined is a patentable improvement over Khare et al '117, is not convincing, since applicants' claims do not require a step of reducing the composition with a reducing agent. No distinction is seen between elemental metal which has been formed by reducing a metal oxide, and elemental metal which has been formed by a method other than the reduction of metal oxide. Applicants' argument, that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reduce the composition of Khare et al '117 because then the composition would no longer contain a metal oxide, is not convincing, since applicants' claims do not require that <u>all</u> of the promoted particulate be reduced to the zero-valence state.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,192 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Langel

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754